

June 4, 2001

Mr. Stephen L. Enders
Director
West Texas Community Supervision and Corrections Department
800 East Overland, Suite 100
El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2001-2295

Dear Mr. Enders:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 147933.

The West Texas Community Supervision and Corrections Department (the "department") received a request for probation files on a named individual. You raise no exceptions to disclosure of the requested information, but assert that the probation files constitute records of the judiciary that are not subject to the Public Information Act (the "Act"). We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

The Act generally requires the public disclosure of information maintained by a "governmental body." While the Act's definition of a "governmental body" is broad, it specifically excludes the judiciary. See Gov't Code § 552.003(1)(B). In determining whether a governmental entity falls within the judiciary exception of the Act, this office looks to whether the role of the judiciary is in a judicial capacity or solely administrative in nature. See Open Records Decision No. 646 at 2-3 (1996) (citing Benavides v. Lee, 665 S.W.2d 151 (Tex. App.--San Antonio 1983, no writ)).

You explain that the department serves as the probation offices of El Paso, Culberson, and Hudspeth counties at the direction of the criminal courts as part of the court's judicial functions. See Gov't Code § 76.002 (requiring district judges trying criminal cases to establish community supervision and correction departments, enforce the conditions of community supervision, and participate in the management of the department). This office

has concluded that a community supervision and corrections department holds probationers' records on behalf of the judiciary as an agent of the judiciary. Open Records Decision Nos. 646 at 5 (1996), 236 (1980) (records of an adult probation office, indicating whether probationers are complying with terms of probation, are records of the judiciary and not subject to the Act). Because the requested information constitutes the records of an individual on probation which are maintained by the department at the direction of the criminal courts as part of the courts' judicial function, we conclude that the documents at issue are records of the judiciary. Thus, the department has no obligation under the Act regarding the release of the records.¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental

¹The release of the requested information is within the discretion of the court, acting through its agent, the department. *See* Open Records Decision No. 646 at 4 n. 3 (1996) (citing Open Records Decision No. 236 at 2-3 (1980)).

body. Id. § 552.321(a); Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Pearle

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Michael A. Reule

MAP/seg

Ref: ID# 147933

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Ms. Roberta Capetillo

DMI Document Retrieval, Inc. 816 Congress Avenue, Suite 330

Austin, Texas 78701 (w/o enclosures)